FLETCHER, YODER & VAN SOMEREN

A Professional Corporation

Attorneys at Law

7915 FM 1960 West, Suite 330 Houston, Texas 77070

Post Office Box 692289 Houston, Texas 77269-2289

> Telephone (281) 970-4545 Facsimile (281) 970-4503

Facsimile Transmission	
FILE: DODG: 0044 Serial No.: 09/938,793	
COMPANY: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	
EXTENSION: (281) 970-4545	
NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: 7	

URGENT, PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Attached is a Response to the Official Action Mailed on August 28, 2002.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren, P.C. which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9999999999

In re Application of:

Donald L. Nisley et al.

Serial No.: 09/938,793

Filed: August 24, 2001

For: SEALING SYSTEM FOR

BEARING ASSEMBLY

Group Art Unit:

3683

Examiner:

Torres, Melanie

Atty Docket: DODG:0044/YOD

01RE025

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION OR MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d) or is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Pirst Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below

November 29, 2002

Date

Ralph Graham

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION **MAILED ON AUGUST 28, 2002**

Dear Sir

Official

In response to the Official Action mailed on August 28, 2002, please consider the **FAX RECEIVED** following remarks:

NOV 2 9 2002

REMARKS

GROUP 3600

In the Official Action, 1-15, 17, and 19-26 were rejected and claims 16 and 18 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for indicating the allowable subject